

Official document's conflicts with other evidence

somayeh mehraban dafsari*,

Abstract The need for citation as proof of a dispute or defense, or in other words, when the conflict between the document and other positive evidence is created, is that the document is always a complete or at least element of reason, or at least indicates another reason for the proof. The proof of the dispute is like confession, because otherwise it will not be cited and will not be cited. Therefore, it seems that in the discussion of the conflict of the document with other positive evidence, the Jurisprudence does not value the document against the arguments, because by examining the words of the jurists we find evidence of the same cases of swearing and martyrdom and ... and consider. According to the jurists, it does not appear that there is any evidence of equality with the mentioned evidence. In all this, however, it should be noted that, based on the acceptance of the argument for the validity of a valid document, the subject matter of credit is not the document itself itself, but the document is considered to be valid as a means to represent ordinary science. Therefore, anything else that can be useful in normal science will be valid as a written document, because the main criterion of credit is the science of ordinary science, not the existence of a document.

Keywords : key words Official document, positive evidence, document conflict with evidence

[Islamic Azad University, Rasht Branch - Thesis Database](#)
[دانشگاه آزاد اسلامی، واحد رشت - سامانه بانک اطلاعات پایان نامه ها](#)