

Check Third Objected to the Seizure of and Auction of property Rights

Hamed Rafe*,Seyed Mohamad Asadinezhad,

Abstract One of the most important issues in third-party lawsuits is the nature of the claim. If a third party submits a complaint under Articles 417 and 418 of the Tribunal's Code of Conduct, based on the fact that the competent court decree has violated his rights and objected to this issue, Public and revolutionary in civil affairs Declare that the third party's objection to the confiscated property is a matter of dissent the third party's objection to a definitive decision. In accordance with the explicit provision of article 146 of the Civil Procedure Act, if a third party's right to confiscation of property has been violated, the lawsuit He has no charge without Compliance with the procedures of the Civil Procedure Law will be considered Thus, it can be said that the purpose of the determination of a complaint in Article 147 of the Civil Criminal Procedure Act is to hear a third-party protest and issue a ruling on whether to accept a protest, so if a third party's complaint The reference to a formal document or a definitive ruling whose date is prior to the date of the seizure is not necessary for his application. If this is established, the court will issue an administrative order to remove the seizure, but if the petition of the third party is based on a formal document or The decree is not definitive in this The court case, in accordance with Article 147 of the said law, has to be considered in the form of a plaintiff's request and, in the light of other reasons, including the term, issue a warrant. Key words: Third party objection, custody, Auction, Execution of sentences

Keywords : Third party objection, custody, Auction, Execution of sentences

[Islamic Azad University, Rasht Branch - Thesis Database](#)
[دانشگاه آزاد اسلامی، واحد رشت - سامانه بانک اطلاعات پایان نامه ها](#)